

SELF ASSESSMENT OF INTEGRATED GOVERNANCE IMPLEMENTATION

Assessment of Integrated Governance Implementation

In accordance with the provisions of Financial Services Authority Regulation No. 18/POJK.03/2014 Articles 44 and 45 of Chapter VII on Reporting and in accordance with Financial Services Authority Circular Letter No. 15/SEOJK.03/2015 dated May 25, 2015 on the Implementation of Integrated Governance for Financial Conglomerates, as a the Legal Primary Entity, a bank is required to prepare a periodic assessment report on the implementation of Integrated Corporate Governance (prepared semi-annually) and an assessment report on the implementation of Integrated Governance and then submit it to Financial Services Authority.

In 2019, the Company as the Legal Primary Entity has conducted an Integrated Corporate Governance Assessment for Semester I and Semester II. The assessment covers 3 (three) aspects of Integrated Governance, namely Structure, Process and Outcome of Integrated Governance. Assessment of the implementation of Integrated Governance includes at least 7 (seven) factors for the implementation of Integrated Governance, namely:

- 1. Implementation of duties and responsibilities of Board of Directors of Legal Primary Entity;
- 2. Implementation of duties and responsibilities of Board of Commissioners of the Legal Primary Entity;
- 3. Duties and responsibilities of Integrated Governance Committee;
- 4. Duties and responsibilities of Integrated Compliance Unit;
- 5. Duties and responsibilities of Integrated Internal Audit Unit;
- 6. Implementation of Integrated Risk Management;
- 7. Preparation and implementation of Integrated Governance Guidelines.

The assessment results of the Integrated Governance implementation of 2019 are categorized as "Rank 2" ("Good").

Rank	Rating Definition
2	The Financial Conglomerate has implemented Integrated Governance that is generally good. This is reflected from the adequate fulfillment of the implementation of Integrated
	Governance principles. In the event of weaknesses in the implementation of Integrated
	Governance, the weaknesses are less significant in general and can be resolved with normal actions by the Main Entity and/or FSI.